United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A		NRCS En Eligible:		NO 🗀
Date of Report: 02/16/2006	ŀ	Funding P	riority Number (from	NO 🔲
DSR Number: 105-05-015K Project Number:	[esource Area: YES	
Section 1B Spor Sponsor Name: Consolidated Gravity Drainage District #1	nsor Info	rmation		
Address: P. O. Box Box 31				
City/State/Zip: Hammond, LA 70404 - 0031				
Telephone Number: (985) 542-4292 Fax: (985) 3	45-1821			
Section 1C Site Lo	cation Ir	formation		
County: Tangipahoa State: LA	Con	gressional I	District: 01	
Latitude: See Comments Longitude: See Comments	Section	:	Township: 7S	Range: 8E
UTM Coordinates: 15				
Drainage Name: W6 L1 Sims Creek	_ Reach:	13965		
Damage Description: Debris is reducing the capacity of the Channel	l. Draina	ge is sever	ely impeded. Debris	consists mostly of trees.
Section 1D Si	te Evalu	ation		
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for H	EWP acci	ctance		
Site Eligibility	YES			Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	✓		Hurricane Katrina - Au	
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	V	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
Threat to life and/or property?*	V			
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	V			
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	1			
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	7			
Site Defensibility		5 6 6 6 5 7		
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	V		1 Marco / Marc	and an area of the confidence of the state of the confidence of th
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	V			
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES V NO V N	of the at	fected popu	lation have been inf	formed of the EWP

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

1 of 14

Approve

DSR NO: _____

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Proposed action: Remove debris from channel and banks, dispose on wooded Drainage District #1 Rights-Of-Way.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 118,000.00

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

State EWP/Program Manager

Date Reviewed:

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing	20	Alternative Designat	ion		
Concerns Condition		Proposed Action No Action Alternative				
		Remove debris from channel and banks from one side only. Dispose on wooded Drainage District #1 Rights-Of-Way.		Cut and haul all debris off site to approved landfill or other disposal area. Access both sides of channel.		
		2	D Effects of Alternativ	es		
Soil						
Bank erosion	20 tons/acre - exposed soil from tree falls & uprooting	5 tons/acre - remove debris and allow to revegetate	20 tons/acre - potential damage from debris	5 tons/acre - remove debris and allow to revegetate		
Soil quality	Soil quality reduced	Soil quality improved	Soil quality reduced	Soil quality improved		
Compaction	N/A	slight increase due to equipment use	N/A	moderate increase due to equipment use		
Water						
Downstream water rights	Will not have to drain Sims Creek to remove debris	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect		
DO	potential decrease in DO due to excess storm debris	increase DO through removal of excess storm debris	potential decrease in DO due to excess storm debris	increase DO through removal of excess storm debris		
BOD	Raised BOD	Lower BOD	Raised BOD	Lower BOD		
Petroleum products	attainment	Short-term increase during removal	attainment	Short-term increase during removal		
Overall Stream Health	SVAP = 5.5 = Poor	SVAP = 6.1 = Fair	SVAP = 5.5 = Poor	SVAP = 6.1 = Fair		
Air						
Paticulate Matter/dust	no effect	slight increase during debris removal	no effect	moderate increase during debris removal		
Plant						
Aquatic health/vigor	Poor - low water quality	Increase function with improved water quality	Poor - low water quality	Increased function with improved water quality		
Riparian plant habitat	Excess debris causing damage	Improved with debris removal and revegetation	Slight increase over time	Improved with debris removal and revegetation		
Animal						
Aquatic habitat	Impaired due to blockage	Restore hydrologic flow regime	Fish access restricted	Restore hydrologic flow regime		
Upland habitat	Loss of riparian food/cover habitat	Restore riparian food/cover habitat	Loss of riparian food/cover habitat	Restore riparian food/cover habitat		
Other						
Aesthetics	Poor due to clutter	Improved - remove clutter	Poor due to clutter	Improved - remove clutter		
Vector	Pools of stagnant water due to debris in channel	restore flow/hydrology	Pools of stagnant water	restore natural flow/hydrology		
		A STATE OF THE STA				

DSR NO: _____

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition	LE Opeciai Environnie	Alternatives and Effects	
Consideration	Existing Condition	Dunwoood Antion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA jurisdiction - debris in channel affects water flow and water quality	CWA permit needed - improve water quality and restore hydrology	Continued decrease in water quality w/ debris decomposition and altered hydrology	CWA permit needed - improve water quality/restore hydrology
Coastal Zone Management Areas	Water flow patterns altered	Water flow patterns restored w/ minimal adverse impacts. CMD Consistency Authoriz, needed	Water flow patterns altered	Water flow patterns restored w/ minimal adverse impacts. Consistency Authoriz, needed
Coral Reefs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	State level review - none observed on-site	State level review - none observed on-site	State level review - none observed on-site	State level review - none observed on-site
Cultural Resources				
Endangered and Threatened Species	6 Federal T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG - none likely to occur on-site	No impact	N/A	No impact
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	(FOTG) No EFH, reference NOAA	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	Debris in channel restricts water flow resulting in various impairments	Proposed action does not include modification of the channel	N/A	Alternative does not include modification of the channel
Floodplain Management	Sims Creek is located within 100-year flood plain. Debris in creek is increasing flood potential.	100-year floodplain function restored to pre-storm capacity	100-year floodplain function remains impaired	100-year floodplain function restored to pre-storm capacity
Invasive Species	Chinese privet climbing fern and Chinese tallow within floodplain	Disturbing vegetation during access or debris removal may increase chances of spread	Chinese privet, climbing fern and Chinese tallow in area with potential to increase	Disturbing vegetation during access or debris removal may increase chances of spread
Migratory Birds	Slight decrease in quality of available food & cover due to storm event	Proposed action will not have a significant adverse effect on habitat	Excess debris contributes to increased flooding and decreased habitat quality	Minor adverse effects on habitat during access
Natural Areas	(FOTG) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian Areas	Riparian habitat impacted by wind damage and flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal and revegetation	Riparian habitat impacted by wind damage and flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal and revegetation
Scenic Beauty	(FOTG) None present - no designated areas of Scenic Beauty within project area	N/A	N/A	N/A
Watlanda	No wetlands present within the stream corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wetlands Wild and Scenic Rivers	One (Tangipahoa River) listed in Parish. Sims Creek flows into it	N/A	N/A	N/A

Completed By: Robert Weihrouch Date	: 02/16/2006
-------------------------------------	--------------

DSR NO:	105-05-015K

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

This decide in the second particle of work in the	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	
Properties Protected (Private			
78 houses @ \$100,000 ea.	7,800,000.00	25	1,950,000.00
9 Trailors @ \$50,000 ea.	450,000.00	25	112,500.00
	20,000.00	100	20,000.00
4 - 8 ft, culverts (replaced) @ \$5,000 ea. Properties Protected (Public)			
5 Utility polea @ \$2000 ea.	10,000.00	50	5,000.00
1 - Two lane bridge @ \$150000	150,000.00	50	75,000.00
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	30	70,000.00
Business Losses			
Other			
Average Averag			
	Total Near Term Da	mage Reduction \$	2,162,500.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Da			2,044,500.00

Completed By: Mike Mullennex	Date:02/16/2006
------------------------------	-----------------

`

105-05-015K

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?	, do a way of common		
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	\	Name and pro-	
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	\	Enterport Manus	
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	>	The contract of the contract o	Impairment increased flooding impacts throughout the community
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?		and the second	
Completed By: Mike Mullennex		Date:	02/16/2006

DSR NO:		
	105-05-015K	

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	1
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	1
Asian Female Hispanic	1
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	1
Asian Male Hispanic	1
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	1
Black or African American Female Hispanic	1
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	45
Black or African American Male Hispanic	1
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	42
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	2
White Female Non-Hispanic	110
White Male Hispanic	2
White Male Non-Hispanic	103
Total Group	306

Census tract(s)_	t(s) US Census Bureau, Tangipahoa Parish, LA			
Completed By:	Mike Mullennex	Date:	02/16/2006	

78 Houses
9 Trailors

87
× 3.5 People

305 People

DSR NO: _____

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

Obtain a CWA permit prior to debris removal in channel.

Obtain a Water Quality Certification from DEQ

Obtain a Consistency Authorization permit since the location is in a coastal zone.

Mitigation Description:

Access debris from only one side of the channel whenever possible. Work should be conducted from the north side of channel on the lower east-west stretch and from the eastern side of channel on the upper NW to SE stretch.

Debris will be disposed of on-site, approximately 20 feet away from the creek, but within the 100 foot Drainage District easement. Where lawns and pastures are present, debris will be hauled to a wooded location within the 100 foot easement. Action will be completed without interruption to minimize impacts on wildlife and nearby residents.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers LA DEQ LDNR CMD LDWF Tangipahoa Consolidated Gravity Drainage District #1 Tangipahoa Parish Government

DSR NO:	105-05-015K

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: J.S. Weller	Date: 02/16/2005

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Proposed Action- Remove debris from channel and banks, dispose on wooded Drainage District #1 Rights-Of-Way.				0.00
Channel Obstruction Removall	13965	Lin. Ft.	8.00	111,720.00
Mobilization and Demobilization	1	Lump Sum	5,000.00	5,000.00
Seeding, Sprigging and Fertilization	6	Acres	200.00	1,280.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
The state of the s				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
8				0.00
	Total In	stallation Cost (E	nter in Section 1F)\$	118,000.00

Unit Abbreviations:

ACLS Lump Sum Acre CY Cubic Yard SF Square Feet EΑ SYSquare Yard Each TN Ton HR Hour LF Linear Feet Other (Specifiy)

DSR NO: ______

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?	Z			1 E
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?		Z		
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure				
components are threatened?	[managed]	IZ		
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?		V		
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?			е	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

USFWS was contacted to check for T&E species. The habitat to be worked on was unsuitable for T&E habitat.

DSR NO: _______

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove debris from channel and banks, dispose on wooded Drainage District #1 Rights-Of-Way.

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

Chapter	sufficiently 5.2.2.1.2 5.2.2.2.2	analyzed in the E - -	EWP PEIS (refer	ence all that apply)
Chapter		-		
Chapter		-		

May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

∠ Date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team

and the same

Section 5B Comments:

Section 5C

Sponsor Concurrence:

Sponsor Representative

Date: 03/01/06

Section 6 Attachments:

A. Location Map

B. Site Plan or Sketches

C. Other (explain)

TOPO MAP DSR 105-05-015K Sims Creek W6-L1 Tangipahoa Parish Estimated Total Reach Length 11,636 LF

